§ 52.2451

1999 to the November 15, 2005 severe ozone attainment date.

- (3) Revises the severe area ROP plan to include a contingency plan containing those adopted measures that qualify as contingency measures to be implemented should EPA determine that the Washington area failed to achieve the ROP reductions required for the post-1999 period.
- (4) Revises the Washington area severe attainment demonstration to include a contingency plan containing those adopted measures that qualify as contingency measures to be implemented for the failure of the Washington area to attain the one-hour ozone standard for serious areas by November 15, 1999.
- (5) Revises the Washington area severe attainment demonstration to reflect revised MOBILE6-based motor vehicle emissions budgets, including revisions to the attainment modeling/weight of evidence demonstration and adopted control measures, as necessary, to show that the SIP continues to demonstrate attainment by November 15, 2005.
- (6) Revises the Washington area severe attainment demonstration to include a contingency plan containing those measures to be implemented if the Washington area does not attain the one-hour ozone standard by November 15, 2005.
- (7) Revises the Washington area severe attainment demonstration to include a revised RACM analysis and any revisions to the attainment demonstration including adopted control measures, as necessitated by such analysis.
- (8) Revises the major stationary source threshold to 25 tons per year.
- (9) Revises Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) rules to include the lower major source applicability threshold.
- (10) Revises new source review offset requirement to require an offset ratio of at least 1.3 to 1.
- (11) Includes a fee requirement for major sources of volatile organic compounds (VOC) and nitrogen oxides (NO_X) should the area fail to attain by November 15, 2005.
- (12) Includes a revision that identifies and adopts specific enforceable transportation control strategies and trans-

portation control measures to offset any growth in emissions from growth in vehicle miles traveled or number of vehicle trips and to attain reductions in motor vehicle emissions as necessary, in combination with other emission reduction requirements in the Washington area, to comply with the rate-of-progress requirements for severe areas. Measures specified in section 108(f) of the Clean Air Act will be considered and implemented as necessary to demonstrate attainment.

(c)-(f) [Reserved]

[60 FR 45056, Aug. 30, 1995, as amended at 62 FR 26748, May 15, 1997; 62 FR 34007, June 24, 1997; 62 FR 49152, Sept. 19, 1997; 63 FR 1368, Jan. 9, 1998; 64 FR 22792, Apr. 28, 1999; 64 FR 47674, Sept. 1, 1999; 65 FR 59732, Oct. 6, 2000; 66 FR 16, Jan. 2, 2001; 68 FR 19132, Apr. 17, 2003; 68 FR 40527, July 8, 2003; 69 FR 52176, Aug. 25, 20041

EFFECTIVE DATE NOTE: At 69 FR 19937, Apr. 15, 2004, in §52.2450, paragraph (b) was stayed indefinitely.

EFFECTIVE DATE NOTE: At 78 FR 33985, June 6, 2013, $\S52.2450$ was removed and reserved, effective Aug. 5, 2013.

§ 52.2451 Significant deterioration of air quality.

- (a) The requirements of sections 160 through 165 of the Clean Air Act are met since the plan includes approvable procedures for the Prevention of Significant Air Quality Deterioration.
- (b) Regulations for preventing significant deterioration of air quality. The provisions of §52.21 (b) through (w) are hereby removed from the applicable state plan for the Commonwealth of Virginia.

[63 FR 13798, Mar. 23, 1998]

§52.2452 Visibility protection.

- (a) Reasonably Attributable Visibility Impairment. The requirements of section 169A of the Clean Air Act are not met because the plan does not include approvable measures for meeting the requirements of 40 CFR 51.305 and 51.306 for protection of visibility in mandatory Class I Federal areas.
- (b) Regulation for visibility monitoring. The provisions of §52.26 are hereby incorporated and made a part of the applicable plan for the State of Virginia.